

# WHICH MULTIPLIER ALGEBRAS ARE $W^*$ -ALGEBRAS?

CHARLES A. AKEMANN, MASSOUD AMINI, AND MOHAMMAD B. ASADI

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the question of when the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, and show that it holds for a stable  $C^*$ -algebra exactly when it is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. This implies that if for every Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $E$  over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the algebra  $B(E)$  of adjointable operators on  $E$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.

Also we show that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which is Morita equivalent to a  $W^*$ -algebra must be a  $W^*$ -algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The main theme of this paper is around the question of when the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra? For separable  $C^*$ -algebras, it holds exactly when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators [2, Theorem 2.8]. For general  $C^*$ -algebras, we get two partial results in this direction. First we give an affirmative answer for stable  $C^*$ -algebras and deduce that if for every Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $E$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ , the algebra  $B(E)$  of adjointable operators on  $E$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. This is related to our question (with a much stronger assumption) as for  $E = \mathcal{A}$  with its canonical Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure,  $B(E) = M(\mathcal{A})$ . Second we show that if  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is Morita equivalent to a  $W^*$ -algebra, then it is a  $W^*$ -algebra. This is also related to our question, as if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, then  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra.

The two partial answers take into account the notions of Hilbert  $C^*$ -algebras and Morita equivalence which are somewhat historically related. In 1953, Kaplansky introduces Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules to prove that derivations of type I  $AW^*$ -algebras are inner. Twenty years later, Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules appeared in the pioneering work of Rieffel [19],

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10, 47L30; Secondary 46L08.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, Morita equivalence, multiplier algebras, operator algebras,  $W^*$ -algebras.

The second and third authors were in part supported by a grant from IPM (91430215 & 91470123).

where he employed them to study (strong) Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras. Paschke studied Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules as a generalization of Hilbert spaces [16].

Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and Hilbert spaces differ in many aspects, such as existence of orthogonal complements for submodules (subspaces), self duality, existence of orthogonal basis, adjointability of bounded operators, etc. However, when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, then Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules behave like Hilbert spaces in having the above properties. Indeed these properties characterize  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators [5, 10, 14, 20].

## 2. $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS OF COMPACT OPERATORS

In this section we give some characterizations of  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators using properties of multiplier algebras. We also show that these are characterized as  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  for which the algebra  $B(E)$  of all adjointable operators is a  $W^*$ -algebra, for any Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $E$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators if there exists a Hilbert space  $H$  and a (not necessarily surjective)  $*$ -isomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $K(H)$ , where  $K(H)$  denotes the space of compact operators on  $H$ .

This is exactly how Kaplansky characterized  $C^*$ -algebras that were dual rings [11, Theorem 2.1, p. 222] (see also [1]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.
- (ii) *The strict topology on the unit ball of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is the same as the strong\*-topology (viewing  $M(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{**}$ , the second dual of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).*

*Proof.* Assume that (i) holds. Then  $\mathcal{A} \cong c_0\text{-}\sum \bigoplus_{\alpha} K(H_{\alpha})$ . Let  $a_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$  in the strict topology of the unit ball of  $M(\mathcal{A}) \cong \ell^{\infty}\text{-}\sum \bigoplus_{\alpha} B(H_{\alpha})$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a_{\beta} \geq 0$ , for all  $\beta$ . Let  $\eta \in \bigoplus_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$  be a unit vector with  $\eta_{\alpha} = 0$  except for finitely many  $\alpha$ . Let  $p_{\alpha}$  be the rank one projection onto the non-zero  $\eta_{\alpha}$  and  $p_{\alpha} = 0$ , otherwise. Then  $p = \sum p_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}$ , thus  $\|a_{\beta}p\| \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $\|a_{\beta}\eta\| \rightarrow 0$ , and the same holds for any  $\eta$  in the unit ball of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}$ , as  $\{a_{\beta}\}$  is norm bounded. Hence  $a_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$  in the strong\* topology.

Conversely if  $a_{\beta} \geq 0$  and  $a_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$  in the strong\* topology. As above, for any rank one projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\|a_{\beta}p\| = \|pa_{\beta}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $p$  can be replaced by any finite linear combination of such minimal projections, and this set is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\{a_{\beta}\}$  is norm bounded,  $a_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$  in the strict topology. This shows that (i) implies (ii).

Now assume that (ii) holds. By [2, Theorem 2.8], we need only to prove that  $M(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}^{**}$ . For any positive element  $b$  in the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ , there is a net  $\{a_\beta\}$  in the unit ball of  $\mathcal{A}$  that converges to  $b$  in strong\* topology. Thus the net is strong\* Cauchy, and hence convergent in the strict topology to an element of  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , as  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the strict topology [9, Theorem 3.6]. Therefore  $b \in M(\mathcal{A})$ , and we are done.  $\square$

Another characterization of  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators could be obtained as a non unital version of the following result of J.A. Mingo in [15], where he investigates the multipliers of stable  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra such that the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra.*

We recall that a projection  $p$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called finite dimensional if  $p\mathcal{A}p$  is a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. To prove a non unital version of Mingo's result, we need some lemmas. The first lemma is well-known, see for instance [4, Corollary 1.2.37].

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $p$  is a projection in the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $M(p\mathcal{A}p) \cong pM(\mathcal{A})p$ , as  $C^*$ -algebras.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H)$  is  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, then so is  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there is an element  $b \in \mathcal{A}^+$  such that the spectral projection  $\xi_1(b)$  of  $b$  corresponding to  $\{1\}$  is not finite dimensional in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $q$  be a one-dimensional projection in  $K(H)$ . Then  $(b \otimes q)^n$  is a decreasing sequence in the unit ball of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H)$  of compact operators. By Theorem 2.2 it converges strictly, hence (because it is decreasing) in norm to  $\xi_1(b) \otimes q \in \mathcal{A}$ . Because  $\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, the projection  $\xi_1(b) \otimes q$  must be finite rank, but

$$(\xi_1(b) \otimes q)(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))(\xi_1(b) \otimes q) = \xi_1(b)\mathcal{A}\xi_1(b) \otimes qK(H)q,$$

and the dimension of  $\xi_1(b)\mathcal{A}\xi_1(b)$  is not finite by our assumption about  $b$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is known for separable  $C^*$ -algebras [2], here we prove it with separability replaced by stability.

**Theorem 2.6.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a stable  $C^*$ -algebra such that the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.*

*Proof.* In order for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to be a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, it is necessary and sufficient that every positive element in  $\mathcal{A}$  can be approximated by a finite linear combination of finite dimensional projections. Let  $a$  be a positive element in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ . Since the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, we can define  $p \in M(\mathcal{A})$  as the spectral projection of  $a$ , corresponding to an interval of the form  $[s, t]$  where  $0 < s < t$ . It suffices to show that  $p\mathcal{A}p$  is finite dimensional. Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous function vanishing at 0, such that  $g(r) = 1$  for all  $r \in [s, t]$ . Then  $g(a) \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g(a)p = p$ . Hence  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now let  $H$  be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a stable  $C^*$ -algebra,  $M(\mathcal{A}) = M(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} M(p\mathcal{A}p \otimes K(H)) &= M((p \otimes 1)(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))(p \otimes 1)) \\ &= (p \otimes 1)M(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))(p \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

is a  $W^*$ -algebra. Therefore by Lemma 2.3,  $p$  is finite rank.  $\square$

The non unital version of the Mingo's lemma follows.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Suppose that  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra such that the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H))$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{A} \otimes K(H)$  is stable, it is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, and so is  $\mathcal{A}$  by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

It is well known that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and  $E$  is a selfdual Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then  $B(E)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. The converse is not true, as for  $E = \mathcal{A} = c_0$ ,  $B(E) = \ell^\infty$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra [19]. However, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on some Hilbert space, then  $B(E)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, for every Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $E$  [6]. Here we show the converse.

Recall that the  $C^*$ -algebra  $K(E)$  of compact operators on  $E$  is generated by rank one operators  $\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$ , for  $\xi, \eta \in E$ , and the multiplier algebra  $M(K(E))$  is isomorphic to  $B(E)$ . Also, if  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then  $E = H \otimes \mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ . It plays an important role in the theory of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules.

**Theorem 2.8.** *For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators,
- (ii)  $B(E)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, for each Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $E$ ,
- (iii)  $B(H_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra.

*Proof.* It is enough to show that (iii) implies (i). Since

$$K(H_{\mathcal{A}}) = K(H \otimes \mathcal{A}) \cong K(H) \otimes K(\mathcal{A}) = K(H) \otimes \mathcal{A}$$

we have  $B(H_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong M(K(H) \otimes \mathcal{A})$ . By assumption,  $B(H_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra and so  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators by Corollary 2.7. □

J. Schweizer in [20] remarked that for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , some problems on Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules can be reformulated as problems on right ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$ , since submodules of a full Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module are in a bijective correspondence with the closed right ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, one may wonder if the previous result could be reformulated in the language of right ideals. Actually, if  $I$  is a (closed) right ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $I$  is a right Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ , for  $a, b \in I$ , and in this case,  $K(E)$  equals to the hereditary  $C^*$ -algebra  $I \cap I^*$  and so  $B(E) = M(I \cap I^*)$ . Therefore, one may expect that  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  of compact operators may be characterized by the property that for every hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $M(\mathcal{B})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra.

Unfortunately, this is not the case for non separable  $C^*$ -algebras, as the following counterexample shows. However, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is separable and  $p$  is a projection as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, then  $p\mathcal{A}p$  is a separable  $W^*$ -algebra, hence finite dimensional (also see Theorem 2.8 in [2]).

**Example 2.9.** For the Stone-Cech compactification  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  of the natural numbers, the algebra of continuous functions  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra. Let  $x$  be any point of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  that is not a natural number and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  consisting of those functions vanishing at  $x$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  (which is an ideal, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian). Then there is an open subset  $U$  of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  consists of functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  that vanish outside  $U$ . Let  $V$  be the closure of  $U$ . Then  $V$  is also open. For every  $c \in C(V)$  we may extend  $c$  by zero outside  $V$ , and thereby view  $C(V)$  as a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Observe that  $M(\mathcal{B}) = C(V)$ : clearly  $\mathcal{B}$  is an ideal in  $C(V)$ , so it suffices to note that for any  $0 \neq c \in C(V)$ ,  $c\mathcal{B} \neq 0$ . To see this, we note that  $c$  is non-zero on a nonvoid open subset  $W$  of  $V$ , hence  $W \cap U \setminus x$  is a nonvoid open set. Hence there exists a non-zero continuous function  $b$  with support in  $W \cap U \setminus x$ . Thus  $b \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $cb \neq 0$ . Therefore  $M(\mathcal{B}) = C(V)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, but  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot be a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.

### 3. MORITA EQUIVALENCE

The notion of (strong) Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras was introduced by M. Rieffel in [19]. Two  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are (*strongly*) *Morita equivalent* if there is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is a left full

Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathcal{A}$ , and a right full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over  $\mathcal{B}$ , such that the inner products  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$  satisfy  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\langle x, y \rangle z = x \langle y, z \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ . Such a module  $\mathcal{M}$  is called an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -imprimitivity bimodule.

It would be interesting to investigate those properties of  $C^*$ -algebras which are preserved under Morita equivalence. These include, among other things nuclearity, being type I, and simplicity [3, 7, 12, 17, 18, 21, 22]. Now if one of the two Morita equivalent  $C^*$ -algebras is a  $W^*$ -algebra, it is natural to ask if so is the other. The answer to this question, as it posed is obviously negative, as Hilbert space  $H$  is a  $K(H)$ - $\mathbb{C}$ -imprimitivity bimodule, and so  $C^*$ -algebras  $K(H)$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are Morita equivalent. However we may rephrase that question in the following less trivial form.

**Question 3.1.** Suppose that  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are Morita equivalent and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, is it then true that  $M(\mathcal{B})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra?

By Theorem 2.8, we can show that the above property holds for  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  exactly when  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. In fact, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $M(\mathcal{B})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  which is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = K(H_{\mathcal{A}})$ . Since  $H_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a full Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is Morita equivalent to  $\mathcal{A}$ . By assumption,  $B(H_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong M(\mathcal{B})$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, hence  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, by Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

However, we give an affirmative answer to the above question, when both  $C^*$ -algebras are unital.

Recall that a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $E$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *self dual* if for every bounded linear  $\mathcal{A}$ -module map  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  there is an element  $y \in E$  such that  $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle y, \cdot \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a right Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $K(E)$  is unital. then*

- (i)  *$E$  is self dual.*
- (ii)  *$B(E)$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, whenever  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis there are elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in  $E$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{x_i, y_i} = 1 \in K(E)$ . Thus, for every bounded linear

$\mathcal{A}$ -module map  $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in E$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{x_i, y_i} x\right) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \langle y_i, x \rangle\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i) \langle y_i, x \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle y_i \varphi(x_i)^*, x \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \varphi(x_i)^*, x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\varphi(x) = \langle y, x \rangle$ , where  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \varphi(x_i)^*$ . Hence  $E$  is selfdual. Now (ii) follows from (i) and [16, Proposition 3.10].  $\square$

Now if  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$ -imprimitivity bimodule, then  $\mathcal{A} \cong K_{\mathcal{B}}(E)$  and  $\mathcal{B} \cong K_{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ . Therefore, the following partial answer to the above question follows from the above lemma.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are Morita equivalent. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra.*

A similar result can be proved for operator algebras. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be operator algebras. We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are (strongly) Morita equivalent if they are Morita equivalent in the sense of Blecher, Muhly, Paulsen [8]. In [8], it is proved that two  $C^*$ -algebras are (strongly) Morita equivalent (as operator algebras) if and only if they are Morita equivalent in the sense of Rieffel.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose that unital operator algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are Morita equivalent. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dual operator algebra if and only if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a dual operator algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$  be a completely isometric normal representation of  $\mathcal{A}$  on some Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there exist a completely isometric representation  $\rho : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(K)$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  on a Hilbert spaces  $K$  and subspaces  $X \subseteq B(K, H)$ ,  $Y \subseteq B(H, K)$  such that

$$\pi(\mathcal{A})X\rho(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq X, \quad \rho(\mathcal{B})Y\pi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq Y, \quad \pi(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{XY}^{\|\cdot\|}, \quad \rho(\mathcal{B}) = \overline{YX}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

Since  $\pi$  is normal, we have  $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = \overline{\pi(\mathcal{A})}^{w*}$ . Now  $X\rho(\mathcal{B})Y \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$  implies that  $X\overline{\rho(\mathcal{B})}^{w*}Y \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore

$$YX\overline{\rho(\mathcal{B})}^{w*}YX \subseteq Y\pi(\mathcal{A})X \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B}),$$

and so  $\rho(\mathcal{B})\overline{\rho(\mathcal{B})}^{w*} \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B})$ . Since  $\rho(\mathcal{B})$  is a unital algebra we have  $\overline{\rho(\mathcal{B})}^{w*} \subseteq \rho(\mathcal{B})$ , hence  $\overline{\rho(\mathcal{B})}^{w*} = \rho(\mathcal{B})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{B}$  is a dual operator algebra.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank Professor G. Eleftherakis, who suggested the proof of Theorem 3.5, and Professor J. Tomiyama for helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Akemann, *Left ideal structure of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **6** (1970), 305-317.
- [2] C. A. Akemann, G.K. Pedersen, J. Tomiyama, *Multipliers of  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Func. Anal. **13** (1973), 277-301.
- [3] P. Ara, *Morita equivalence and Pedersen ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **219** (2001), 1041-1049.
- [4] P. Ara, M. Mathieu, *Local multipliers of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [5] L. Arambasic, *Another characterization of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over compact operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008), 735-740.
- [6] D. Bakić, B. Guljaš, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators*, Acta Sci. Math. **68** (2002), 249-269.
- [7] W. Beer, *On Morita equivalence of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **26** (1982), 49-267.
- [8] D.P. Blecher, P. S. Muhly and V. I. Paulsen, *Categories of operator modules; Morita equivalence and projective modules*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., **681**, 2000.
- [9] R.C. Busby, *Double centralizers and extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 79-99.
- [10] M. Frank, *Characterizing  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators by generic categorical properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, J. K-Theory **2** (2008), 453-462.
- [11] I. Kaplansky, *The structure of certain operator algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 215-255.
- [12] M. Kusuda, *Morita equivalence for  $C^*$ -algebras with the weak Banach-Saks property*, Quart. J. Math. **52** (2001), 455-461.
- [13] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [14] B. Magajna, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in which all closed submodules are complemented*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 849-852.
- [15] J.A. Mingo, *K-Theory and multipliers of stable  $C^*$ -algebras*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **299** (1987), 397-411.
- [16] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443-468.
- [17] I. Raeburn, D. P. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Surveys **60**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [18] A. an Huef, I. Raeburn, and D. P. Williams, *Properties preserved under Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 1495-1503.
- [19] M. A. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence for  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **5** (1974), 5196.
- [20] J. Schweizer, *A description of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in which all closed submodules are orthogonally closed*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 2123-2125.
- [21] H.H. Zettl, *Strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras preserves nuclearity*, Arch. Math. **38** (1982), 448-452.



- [22] H.H. Zettl, *Ideals in Hilbert modules and invariants under strong Morita equivalence of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Arch. Math. **39** (1982), 6977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, USA

*E-mail address:* `akemann@math.ucsb.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TARBIAT MODARES UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN 14115 134, IRAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), TEHRAN 19395-5746, IRAN

*E-mail address:* `mamini@modares.ac.ir`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, ENGHELAB AVENUE, TEHRAN, IRAN, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), TEHRAN 19395-5746, IRAN

*E-mail address:* `mb.asadi@khayam.ut.ac.ir`